

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,942	06/26/2003	Tsutomu Shiraishi	K06-158456M/TBS 3411	
7	590 06/10/2004		EXAMINER	
McGinn & Gibb, PLLC			BONCK, RODNEY H	
Suite 200 8321 Old Cour	thouse Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Vienna, VA 22182-3817			3681	
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				γ^γ			
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/603,942	SHIRAISHI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Rodney H. Bonck	3681				
Period fe	<ul> <li> The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply</li> </ul>	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Fail Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.			
Status							
1)⊠ 2a)⊟ 3)⊡	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
5)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Applica	tion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 October 2003</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign    All   b   Some * c   None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Cher: \_\_\_\_\_.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 3681

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The following is a first action on the merits of application Serial No.10/603,942, filed June 26, 2003.

#### **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, the reference "JP-B-2-56528" has not been considered.

### **Drawings**

Figures 5, 6, and 7 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3681

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In line 1 of page 11, "11b" apparently should be – 12b --.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is no proper antecedent basis for "the plurality of recess portions" recited in lines 2-3 of claim 5. It is noted that claim 3 defines "a plurality of recess portions", but claim 5 depends from claim 1. Claim 5 is further indefinite because it is unclear what would be considered "a pertinent clearance", line 4. In claim 6, "the recess portions" lacks a proper antecedent basis. Claim 1 defines only "a recess portion".

Art Unit: 3681

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miura ('698). Miura discloses a one-way clutch comprising an outer ring fitting member 11 including a hole and a recess portion 27 at an inner periphery of the hole. A shaft 17 passes through the hole of the outer ring fitting member. The clutch further includes an annular outer ring 12 having a plurality of cam faces on an inner peripheral face. The outer ring is fitted in the hole of the outer ring fitting member and receives shaft 17 therethrough. A plurality of rollers 14 are arranged between the shaft and the annular outer ring, and an annular retainer for retaining the rollers is fitted to the outer ring. The retainer has an axially projected portion 32 and a projection 33 projected in the radial direction from the axial projected portion. The projection 33 is fitted to the recess portion 27 in the outer ring fitting member. The retainer further includes a plurality of urging members 16. The retainer has a plurality of columns 34 each providing a radial projected portion that is received in a corresponding number of recess portions in the outer ring, preventing turning of the retainer relative to the outer ring. A slight clearance is provided between the projected portions and the recess portions, as seen in Fig. 2 of Miura. The clearance can be considered a "pertinent clearance" insofar as the term has been defined.

Art Unit: 3681

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miura('698). These claims call for recess portions on the inner periphery of the hole of the outer ring fitting member fitted with a plurality of expanded portions on the outer peripheral face of the outer ring. Miura differs from these claims in that the outer ring has the recess portions and the hole of the outer ring fitting member has the expanded portions. It would have been within the purview of the artisan to reverse the position of the recess portions and the expanded portions such that the outer ring fitting member carried the recess portions and the outer ring carried the expanded portions. The two

Art Unit: 3681

alternatives would have been considered equivalent and therefore obvious within the meaning of 35 USC 103.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lederman('353) is cited to show retainer 62 in Fig. 9.

Carullo('555) is cited to show the clutch of Figs. 1-3. Takamatsu et al.('305), Iga('355), Kashio et al.('705), and Okamoto et al.('486) show other similar one-way clutches.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney H. Bonck whose telephone number is (703)-308-2904. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM - 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on (703)-308-0830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3681

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney H. Bonck Primary Examiner Art Unit 3681

rhb June 2, 2004